# The Single Plan for Student Achievement

School: Neal Dow Elementary

CDS Code: 04-61424-6003040

**District:** Chico Unified School District

Principal: David Murgia

**Revision Date:** 2-11-16

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students. California Education Code sections 41507, 41572, and 64001 and the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) require each school to consolidate all school plans for programs funded through the ConApp and ESEA Program Improvement into the SPSA.

For additional information on school programs and how you may become involved locally, please contact the following person:

Contact Person: David Murgia

**Position:** Principal

**Phone Number:** (530) 891-3110

Address: 1420 Neal Dow Avenue

Chico, CA 95926

E-mail Address: dmurgia@chicousd.org

The District Governing Board approved this revision of the SPSA on April 20, 2016.

## **Table of Contents**

School Vision and Mission	3
School Profile	3
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components	4
Data Analysis	4
Surveys	4
Classroom Observations	4
Analysis of Current Instructional Program	5
Description of Barriers and Related School Goals	9
School and Student Performance Data	11
CAASPP Results (All Students)	11
CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results	13
CELDT (All Assessment) Results	14
Title III Accountability (School Data)	15
Title III Accountability (District Data)	16
School Site Council Membership	17
Recommendations and Assurances	18

#### School Vision and Mission

#### **Neal Dow Elementary's Vision and Mission Statements**

It is the mission of Neal Dow to ensure that:

Every Day Every Student is

Nurtured, Engaged, Achieving, Learning, and Directed with Opportunities to Win.

"Every Day Every Student is Neal Dow"

It is the vision of Neal Dow that every student will show growth in academic knowledge, social partnerships, personal responsibility for themselves and in taking care of others. Through the use of technology, best practices of teaching, interventions, and community awareness, each Neal Dow community member will feel that they are a part of the whole process of our cumulative efforts as a professional learning community.

- Students who need extra help in learning will receive that help.
- Students who meet or exceed learning standards will receive extra enrichment lessons and activities.
- Students who attend interventions are monitored as to their progress and learning.
- Staff development in areas of technology and data will be initiated and implemented.
- The implementation of the California Common Core State Standards will be a primary school-wide focus.

### **School Profile**

Neal Dow School is located on the east side of Chico, serving children from Chico's northwest quadrant as well as families who choose to attend Neal Dow through the district's Form 10 application process. Neal Dow enrolls approximately 415 students on a traditional school year schedule. It is supported by a neighborhood connection of many families.

Neal Dow has implemented Renaissance Learning programs in every classroom. This allows us to use real-time data to ensure effective instruction and practices for each student. Our teachers use the skills-based testing that provides greater depth of assessments, appropriate Response to Intervention. Walk to Learn placement of students and charts the progress for students toward Common Core State Standards' targets.

The Neal Dow staff will continue to focus on a balanced and integrated K-6 Math and Language Arts program with an emphasis on early literacy. Through the Professional Learning Communities process, and implementation of progress monitoring tools, staff will develop and implement a response to intervention schedule that meets the needs of the students, based on the assessment data.

The Neal Dow teaching staff has implemented a researched based student monitoring system that allows for constant growth and learning interventions based on individual student needs.

Neal Dow is a school-wide Title I campus. All monies under Title I are directed to all students to meet their individual needs. The school-wide Title I components can be found at the California Dept. of Education website for further information.

Neal Dow has a fully credentialed staff of 18 teachers, including two vibrant Students with Disabilities Classrooms and Resource for Students Program. Grades 1-3 are part of the class size increase with a maximum of 30 students per class. Kindergarten classroom sizes are currently at 20 students per room. Grades 4-6 average 32-35 students per classroom. Neal Dow met the 9 AYP criteria for the 2015 Accountability Progress Reporting (APR) (APY). This moved our school out Performance Improvement status. The accountability for this score in school wide achievement is due to the shared responsibility of teachers, staff, students and parents and to the results we have experienced in using Renaissance Learning programs.

Parents are invited to join the active Parent Teacher Association and/or the School Site Council. Parent volunteers are eagerly accepted in classrooms on a scheduled basis. PTA at Neal Dow has raised monies to support teacher costs in their classrooms and beautification of the campus along with fund raising for 6th grade environmental camp.

At Neal Dow we firmly believe that every student is nurtured, engaged, achieving, learning, and directed with opportunities to win. Our excellent staff is open to trying new and innovative ways of reaching every student, every day.

#### **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components**

### **Data Analysis**

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

#### Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

Surveys were given to staff, students, and families of Neal Dow. The surveys were tallied and shared. The results of the surveys gave Neal Dow the encouragement to continue with the Professional Learning Community model and to develop more ways to communicate with families. Teachers communicate regularly with parents through letters, email and conferences. Each student receives the Neal Dow News every Friday. The development of our web site, email system and the use of the Remind application has also enriched our capacity to communicate with our community.

#### **Classroom Observations**

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

Every student has the necessary textbooks and supplies to be successful. Materials are readily available and our PTA donates approximately \$250 to each classroom for additional supplies. Expenditures from our schoolwide catagorical funds support our efforts and staff development with Renaissance Learning.

#### **Analysis of Current Instructional Program**

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

### Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

1. Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)

Neal Dow uses Renaissance Learning STAR test results, district benchmark assessments and common formative assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement. The implementation of Renaissance Learning STAR Reading, STAR math and Early Literacy have given us current and active data to build effective RTI groups and individual student focus. Our focus on the CCSS is evident in our staff development and agendas.

We attribute this growth and achievement to the Renaissance Learning programs that have become embedded in our teaching practices.

Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC) Students are routinely assessed to collect data to check progress and determine placement in Walk to Learn groups. Differentiated instruction is used in all classrooms. Four school-wide screenings per year and assessments are used as needed for progress monitoring from Renaissance Learning products have directed our efforts to reach each student effectively. The use of the Diagnostic reports for individual students and full classroom are tools that are used to effectively direct instruction. Neal Dow is also using the Student Growth Percentile reports that compare each student's individual growth to that of his or her academic peers nationwide. This will assist us in transitioning to the Common Core shifts and curriculum that supports the need for students to become college and career ready. Staffing and Professional Development Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA) Neal Dow teachers meet the requirements for the highly qualified teacher as set forth in No Child Left Behind legislation. 4. Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC) All teachers have had access to Assembly Bill 466. 100% have completed the requirements. Grade level collaboration is scheduled monthly and at 6 times per year for extensive planning. All teachers have received professional development in the use of Renaissance Learning programs. Teachers have become the 'go to' coaches for each of the programs we use. The coaches are assigned a program in reading or math, watch the data for each class and make suggestions as to how the teacher might assist the student in making further growth.

5.	Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)
	The implementation of CCSS is evident in the use of CCSS math modules that meet the requirements of the CC shifts. Teachers have CCSS binders from CUSD. The binders also include CDE CCSS for ELA and math. These can be found at the CDE website.
	As we transition to the CCSS, Neal Dow is currently focusing on math modules that support lessons and the shifts needed at each grade level.
6.	Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)
	All teachers are evaluated every two years. Non-tenured teachers are evaluated yearly. Beginning teachers are given Beginning Teacher Support support. The evaluation of teachers is based on the California Standards for the Teaching Profession.
	All teachers are given professional development days to work with their grade level partner to plan for CCSS lessons.
7.	Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K–8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)
	Each grade level team is encouraged to meet six times per year in a half-day collaboration to prepare for the next level of curriculum to be taught. This is above the 1-2 times per month of grade level meetings held after school. Each grade level meets weekly to review student progress in those grades.
	Data from Renaissance Learning is used extensively at these meetings.
Tea	ching and Learning
8.	Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA)
	All instructional materials are SBE-adopted and standards aligned. Teachers follow the recommended instructional minutes in language arts and math.
9.	Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K–8) (EPC)
	The recommended instructional minutes are followed in language arts and math at Neal Dow.

10.	Lesson pacing schedule (K–8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)
	The district pacing guide, teacher planned lessons, and intervention curriculum is used to accommodate students who struggle. Teachers progress monitor students from each group to assess if the intervention is working or not. Data from Renaissance programs (Early Literacy, STAR Reading and math) is used to form each intervention group. Students are placed in tiered levels according to the most recent data available.
11.	Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA)
	Standards-based instructional materials are available to all student groups.
12.	Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)
	SBE-adopted and standards-aligned intervention materials are provided for students with learning disabilities.
<u>Ор</u>	portunity and Equal Educational Access
13.	Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)
	Through PLC and the RTI program provided, under performing students are guided to reach higher levels. This progress is evident in the data retrieved from Renaissance Learning programs. Students are assessed as needed.
	The reports generated from Early Literacy, STAR Reading and math and Math Facts in a Flash assist each grade level in developing appropriate RTI curriculum.
14.	Research-based educational practices to raise student achievement
	Teachers meet monthly for grade level collaboration. They also meet 6 times per year for half-day collaboration at each grade level. Teachers review assessment data, discuss instructional challenges and successes, plan the curriculum, and check on the RTI assessments.

#### Parental Involvement

15. Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)

The school has a strong PTA that supports students with activities and opportunities throughout the school year. Families are involved as parent volunteers in the classrooms and on field trips. Many families donate materials needed for classroom projects. CAVE and CARD are part of the support staff who work with students in the early breakfast program and ASES. PIP and Healthy Play are a part of the success in student growth and behavior and conflict resolution.

16. Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)

Neal Dow SSC, PTA, and ILT, along with staff members guide the planning, implementation and evaluation of consolidated programs. The SSC meets monthly to develop the Safe School Plan, SPSS/LCAP expenditures, Title One and block grant expenditures, and reviews student data regarding achievement.

#### **Funding**

17. Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Categorical funds provide a variety of services for our school-wide categorical programs. Additional staff is hired to support Walk to Learn, including tech services. Intervention curriculum are purchased as needed.

With the implementation of Local Control Funding FormuLa, Neal Dow might experience an increase in allocation from these funds if comparisons are made with similar schools in the district for low-Socially Economically and free-reduced lunch programs. The School Site Council will focus on the LCFF as it is developed within district.

### 18. Fiscal support (EPC)

The services provided by categorical funds enable underperforming students to meet standards. The PTA and SSC provide valuable support through the purchase of instructional materials, technology, volunteer support, book fair, Fall Festival, and special events and assemblies.

## **Description of Barriers and Related School Goals**

#### Barriers:

The severe decline of state and federal funding will decrease our ability to fully support the needs of our students. Our population sub-group for low-SES has increased to 62.3%. Our free-reduced lunch program has increased to 55.8%.

In using comparable district wide demographic data, the allocations for categorical accounts have diminished tremendously from the district even as our sub-group population needs and percentages have risen.

Our teaching staff believes th Neal Dow. Our SDC and RSP t may need more time to learn	eachers work together to n	and that we can collectineet the needs of their o	vely work together to re case loads and also reac	ach every student attending h out to other students who

## **CAASPP Results (All Students)**

## **English Language Arts/Literacy**

	Overall Achievement												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled	# of Students Tested	% of Enrolled Students Tested	# of Students With Scores	Mean Scale Score	Standard Exceeded	Standard Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Not Met				
Grade 3	57	53	93.0	53	2439.3	19	25	49	8				
Grade 4	61	61	100.0	60	2434.3	8	30	18	43				
Grade 5	61	60	98.4	60	2448.9	3	22	27	48				
Grade 6	55	54	98.2	54	2514.3	7	31	43	19				
All Grades	234	228	97.4	227		9	27	33	30				

	READING			WRITING			LISTENING			RESEARCH/INQUIRY			
Grade		Demonstrating understanding of literary & non-fictional texts			Producing clear and purposeful writing			Demonstrating effective communication skills			Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information		
Level	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard	
Grade 3	21	64	15	17	66	17	21	72	8	19	72	9	
Grade 4	17	43	40	7	58	35	15	63	22	7	55	38	
Grade 5	5	48	47	7	40	53	5	68	27	15	48	37	
Grade 6	11	57	31	15	63	22	9	76	15	19	67	15	
All Grades	13	53	34	11	56	33	12	70	18	15	60	26	

## Conclusions based on this data:

# **CAASPP Results (All Students)**

## **Mathematics**

	Overall Achievement												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled	# of Students Tested	% of Enrolled Students Tested	# of Students With Scores	Mean Scale Score	Standard Exceeded	Standard Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Not Met				
Grade 3	57	55	96.5	54	2434.6	13	44	18	24				
Grade 4	61	60	98.4	60	2454.4	8	23	40	28				
Grade 5	61	61	100.0	60	2471.8	11	18	30	39				
Grade 6	55	54	98.2	54	2510.9	9	24	41	26				
All Grades	234	230	98.3	228		10	27	32	30				

		CONCEPTS & PROCEDURES			DBLEM SOLVIN LING/DATA AN		COMMUNICATING REASONING			
Grade Level	Applying m	athematical co	ncepts and		riate tools and world and mat problems	•	Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions			
	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard	
Grade 3	28	48	24	19	52	30	30	56	15	
Grade 4	22	40	38	15	48	37	10	60	30	
Grade 5	22	30	48	8	38	53	10	47	43	
Grade 6	17	46	37	7	61	31	17	63	20	
All Grades	22	41	37	12	50	38	16	56	28	

### Conclusions based on this data:

## **CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results**

		2014-15 CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results												
Grade	Adva	Advanced		Early Advanced		Intermediate		Early Intermediate		nning	Number Tested			
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#			
К	******	***	*****	***							******			
1	36				******	***					******			
2			4	80	1	20					5			
4					******	***	******	***			******			
5			2	50	1	25	1	25			4			
6							******	***	*****	***	******			
Total	1	6	7	39	6	33	3	17	1	6	18			

## Conclusions based on this data:

## **CELDT (All Assessment) Results**

	2014-15 CELDT (All Assessment) Results												
Grade	Advanced		Early Advanced		Interm	Intermediate		Early Intermediate		nning	Number Tested		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
К	1	17	2	33			3	50			6		
1					******	***					******		
2			4	80	1	20					5		
3									*****	***	******		
4					******	***	******	***	~ ~		******		
5			2	40	1	20	2	40			5		
6							******	***	*****	***	******		
Total	1	4	8	33	6	25	7	29	2	8	24		

## Conclusions based on this data:

## Title III Accountability (School Data)

	Annual Growth								
AMAO 1	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15						
Number of Annual Testers	19	20	18						
Percent with Prior Year Data	100.0%	100.0%	100%						
Number in Cohort	19	20	18						
Number Met			11						
Percent Met			61.1%						
NCLB Target	57.5	59.0	60.5						
Met Target	*		Yes						

	Attaining English Proficiency									
	201	2-13	201	3-14	2014-15 Years of EL instruction					
AMAO 2	Years of EL	instruction	Years of EL	instruction						
	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More				
Number in Cohort	22	5	17	5	17	6				
Number Met		-		-	6					
Percent Met		-		-	35.3%					
NCLB Target	20.1	47.0	22.8	49.0	24.2	50.9				
Met Target	*	*			Yes					

*****	Adequate Yearly Progress for English Learner Subgroup					
AMAO 3	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15			
English-Language Arts						
Met Participation Rate						
Met Percent Proficient or Above						
Mathematics						
Met Participation Rate						
Met Percent Proficient or Above						

## Conclusions based on this data:

## Title III Accountability (District Data)

	Annual Growth					
AMAO 1	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15			
Number of Annual Testers	1,059	968	941			
Percent with Prior Year Data	99.8	99.2	99.9			
Number in Cohort	1,057	960	940			
Number Met	633	545	590			
Percent Met	59.9	56.8	62.8			
NCLB Target	57.5	59.0	60.5			
Met Target	Yes	No	Yes			

	Attaining English Proficiency						
	2012-13  Years of EL instruction		201	3-14	2014-15		
AMAO 2			Years of EL instruction		Years of EL instruction		
	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More	
Number in Cohort	720	532	713	449	671	443	
Number Met	137	267	153	228	162	248	
Percent Met	19.0	50.2	21.5	21.5 50.8		56.0	
NCLB Target	20.1	47.0	22.8 49.0		24.2	50.9	
Met Target	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	

*****	Adequate Yearly Progress for English Learner Subgroup at the LEA Level				
AMAO 3	2012-13 2013-14		2014-15		
English-Language Arts					
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	98		
Met Percent Proficient or Above	No	No	N/A		
Mathematics					
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	98		
Met Percent Proficient or Above	No	No	N/A		
Met Target for AMAO 3	No	No			

## Conclusions based on this data:

## **School Site Council Membership**

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

Name of Members	Principal	Classroom Teacher	Other School Staff	Parent or Community Member	Secondary Students
David Murgia	X				
Lindsey Erwin		х			
Trinette Samson				X	
Debbie Wakefield		X			
Janet Ford		X			
Summer Toth				Х	
Wayne Samson				X	
Liz Parks				X	
Kelly Lovgren				X	
Jill Miranda			X		
Numbers of members of each category:	1	3	1	5	

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

#### **Recommendations and Assurances**

The school site council (SSC) recommends this school plan and Proposed Expenditure(s)s to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

- 1. The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
- 2. The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.
- 3. The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan (Check those that apply):

	State Compensatory Education Advisory Committee	
		Signature
	English Learner Advisory Committee	
		Signature
	Special Education Advisory Committee	
		Signature
	Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee	
		Signature
	District/School Liaison Team for schools in Program Improvement	
		Signature
	Compensatory Education Advisory Committee	
		Signature
	Departmental Advisory Committee (secondary)	
		Signature
Χ	Other committees established by the school or district (list):	
	School Site Council	Signature

- 4. The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.
- 5. This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
- 6. This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on November 18, 2015.

Attested:		
David Murgia	 	

Typed Name of School Principal	Signature of School Principal	Date
Trinette Samson		
Typed Name of SSC Chairperson	Signature of SSC Chairperson	Date

LCAP Goal 1: Quality Teachers, Materials, and Facilities  All CUSD students will have highly- qualified teachers, current standards- aligned instructional materials, current technology, and facilities in good repair.  • 1.1: All CUSD students will have highly-qualified teachers, current standards-aligned instructional materials and facilities in good repair.  • 1.2: By 2018, 100% of CUSD students and teachers will have regular access to the technology they need for curriculum, instruction and assessment.  Site Goals:  • Neal Dow will adhere to Williams Act requirements. • Neal Dow will reduce the ratio of students to device						
ratio from 3:1 to 1:1.  CUSD Actions	Site Actions and Timeline	Metrics	Applicable Subgroups	Proposed Expenditures		
			34.2	Description	Funding Source	Amount
Review credentials and assignments.	Work with district HR to ensure teachers possess required credentials and are teaching in appropriate assignments	- HR Data- Number of teachers with appropriate credential and teaching in correct subject area - Williams Act Report	All	HR	LCFF-Base	
Purchase the following to ensure students, including students in the identified subgroups, have instructional materials:  Textbooks and supplemental materials  Educational software: Illuminate and	<ul> <li>Ensure site has proper instructional materials and facilities are in good condition, as per the Williams Act requirements</li> </ul>	Williams Act Report	All	Instructional Materials  Renaissance Place (Total District Cost)	LCFF Base  LCFF-District Supplemental	\$84,000

Renaissance						
				Illuminate (Total District Cost)	LCFF-Base	\$64,000
Regularly inspect and maintain facilities.	<ul> <li>Ensure site has proper instructional materials and facilities are in good condition, as per the Williams Act requirements</li> </ul>	Williams Act Report	All	M&O	LCFF-Base	
Purchase devices for students and teachers per district technology needs (e.g. Chromebooks)	<ul> <li>Site will ensure that Chromebook carts are maintained in good working order.</li> </ul>	Ratio of students to devices in grades 2-6	All	IT Dept Chrome Carts	LCFF-Base Title 1- Site	\$30,000
	Neal Dow will add 2     additional Chromebook carts			cinomic data	nue 1 one	\$30,000
To ensure access to on-line resources, employ:  Librarians and Library Media Assistants  Instructional Technology Aides	Libraries will be maintained and available for student use.	Neal Dow Library staffed with Library Media Assistant hours per week	All	Librarians & Library Media Assistants (Total District Cost)	LCFF- District Supplemental	\$794,091
				Add'l Library Media Assistant hours		
	<ul> <li>Neal Dow will supplement an IA Tech employment with 1 hour a day</li> </ul>	Tech IA- 5 hours per week		Tech Aides (Total District Cost)	LCFF- Supplemental Site	\$4,080
					LCFF-District LCAP	\$184,764
Continue providing information to families on resources supporting technology:  Computers for Classrooms Comcast Internet Access	- Site will supply families with information regarding Computers for Classrooms and Comcast Internet Access via newsletters, district and site website.	Share in newsletter 2 times per year	All	No Funding Needed		

Site Actions and Timeline	Metrics	Applicable Subgroups	Proposed Expenditures  Description	Funding	Amount
The staff will take a baseline and end of year survey to assess CSCS implementation. The	CSCS Survey	All	No Funding Needed	Source	Amount
	The staff will take a baseline and end of year survey to assess CSCS	The staff will take a baseline and end of year survey to assess CSCS implementation. The administrator will gather and  CSCS Survey	The staff will take a baseline and end of year survey to assess CSCS implementation. The administrator will gather and	Subgroups	Subgroups

<del></del>						
	ILT/Leadership team and modify site PD opportunities. Timeline: Fall and Spring surveys  Istrict Leadership Committee (DLC) will analyze overall district CSCS survey responses and recommend district-wide staff development  Title !/Renaissance Lead teacher coordinates progress monitoring in Math and Reading. SBIT/SST Coordinator	STAR Math and Reading Scale Scores/ SBIT scheduling and completion		DLC Funding Title 1 Funding	Title II District  Title 1 0.4 FTE	\$41,873.08
Provide professional development in:  California State Content Standards Before school and school-year PD in English Language Development	Our staff will attend district-wide professional development.	District-wide Grade Level Meetings- 10/6, 11/17, 2/9, 4/5	All	Presenter Costs	Title II District	
<ul> <li>Technology hardware (e.g. Chromebooks) and applications (e.g. Google Apps for Education).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Teachers will meet to analyze data and design rigorous CSCS instruction.</li> <li>PLC's analyzing benchmark results together</li> </ul>	Planned Common Staff Meetings via Skype/Videos- 9/8, 9/29, 10/13, 11/3,		Presenter Costs  PLC Release Time	Title II District	
	<ul> <li>GLT/SBIT progress monitoring high concern students</li> <li>Planning CSCS lessons together</li> <li>District-wide Skype/Video meetings supporting data discussion</li> </ul>	11/17, 2/23, 5/24 *Additional TBD			Title I Seven .5	\$2,560 \$8,640
	Provide after school professional development sessions focusing on technology integration in classrooms	PD Sign In Sheets		After School PD Opportunities Site PD	release days	
	<ul> <li>Provide opportunities for professional development based on site needs as determined by ILT, DLC TOSA, and/or staff</li> </ul>			Opportunities	Title II District  Title II Site	\$6,803
					(carry-over)	(\$4,393)
Develop and refine a TK-12 sequence of common assessments aligned to CSCS (staff and district meeting time).	CUSD will use common assessments for K-6 students in ELA, ELD, and Math as recommended by DLC	- Report Card Feedback Sessions- 9/10, 11/19, 3/24, 6/9	All	TOSAs (Total District Cost) See Goal 3	LCFF Supplemental District	
	Ensure all students are given site, district and state assessments.	- DLC Meetings- 8/7, 9/4, 9/18, 10/2, 12/4, 1/8, 2/5, 3/4, 4/1, %				
	Teachers will administer The	Monthly data				

STAR Reading, STAR Math and Early Literacy assessments and evaluate data to monitor student progress.	reports on student progress.	All		
•				

			Γ	T	Т	
Goal 3: Support High Levels of						
Student Achievement in a Broad						
Range of Courses						
3.1: Develop and implement a						
plan to ensure that all students						
in all subgroups are on track for						
successful entrance into college						
and careers.						
3.2: Increase student						
achievement at all grades and in						
all subject areas on state,						
district, and site assessments.						
<ul> <li>3.3: Increase the number of</li> </ul>						
students entering high school at						
grade level in ELA and						
mathematics.						
<ul> <li>3.4: Increase student</li> </ul>						
achievement for English						
learners.						
3.5: Increase the percentage of						
students graduating from high						
school fully prepared for college						
and careers.						
Site Goal:						
Neal Dow will lower the number						
of students on the High Concern						
list by 20% by May 2016.						
Neal Dow will increase the						
percent of students in grades K-						
6 reaching end of year						
benchmarks in ELA, Math, and						
ELD as reported on the Data Dashboard.						
Neal Dow will increase by 5%						
the number of all students who						
score a 3 or 4 on the SBAC end						
of year assessment in ELA and						
Math.						
CUSD Actions	Site Actions and Timeline	Metrics	Applicable	Proposed		
CO3D ACTIONS	Site Actions and Timeline	IVIELLICS	Applicable	rioposeu		

			Subgroups	Expenditures		
				Description	Funding Source	Amount
econdary Counselors will implement and nonitor college/career plans for all students, specially those in the identified subgroups.	Not Applicable					
mplement RTI academic interventions ncluding Response to Intervention, ccelerated Reader, Accelerated Math, Read 80, Power Reading) using site allocations to rovide TK-12 students with the academic upport to achieve at grade level as funding llows.	<ul> <li>Staff will ensure all students are on track for achieving proficiency on CUSD and state assessments.</li> <li>Students will take baseline assessments as well as Tri 1, 2, and 3 assessments.</li> <li>Site will use student assessment data to monitor academic progress and disaggregate site, district, and state testing data.</li> <li>High Concern lists of students will be looked at frequently and discussed among teachers</li> </ul>	- K-6 Assessment Data (i.e. BPST, BAS, STAR) - 2nd-6th Grade CSCS Assessment Data - 3-6 SBAC Data  Progress Scale Score reports  See Goal 2	All	Support Teachers  Electronic Program  Title I Lead	Title 1- Site  LCFF District Supplemental	See IA below
	<ul> <li>Employ supplementary support teachers (certificated)</li> <li>STAR Math Renaissance program</li> <li>Site will use SBIT process to monitor placement of students in interventions</li> </ul>	CELDT Data EL Reclass- ification Rate	All	Title I Lead Teacher		See Goal 2
	<ul> <li>All English Learners will take CELDT</li> <li>2 Instructional Aides</li> <li>0 1 @ 30 hrs /week</li> </ul>	Student progress data in progress monitoring	All	Support RTI K-6 program for small group instruction	Title I	\$39,313,.64 \$6,720.42
	0 1 @ 15hrs/week					

			T		T	1
Provide the following services to improve instruction:  • Targeted Case Managers (TCMs)  • Elementary Instructional Specialists (2.6 FTE)	<ul> <li>See Goal 4</li> <li>Neal Dow will employ a .2 TOSA</li> </ul>		All	TCMs (Total District Cost)	LCFF-District Supplemental Title II	\$357,353
• Guidance Aides  • Bilingual Aides	<ul> <li>Neal Dow will employ a 25 hr/week Guidance Aide</li> <li>Employ school Counselor</li> <li>Employ 3 Instructional Aides; Neal Dow will implement extended Kindergarten day.         <ul> <li>2 @ 15 hrs a week</li> <li>1 @ 25 hrs a week</li> </ul> </li> <li>Bilingual Aide- plan for bilingual aid</li> </ul>		EL Students	Guidance Aide  Counselor  Instructional Aides (x3) Extended Day K Aides  Bilingual Aides (Total District Cost)	Grant Funded  Crant Funded  LCFF Supplemental Site  LCFF Supplemental District	\$45,000 \$41,232 \$452,158
Research options for providing an all-day or extended day Kindergarten at all elementary sites.	Site Kindergarten teachers will attend planning meetings at the district office.	Attendance at district planning meetings-9/23, 10/22, 11/2, 11/30	All	No Funding Needed		
Provide after school homework support at Elementary and Secondary as per site's needs.	<ul> <li>Site will provide tutoring groups before and after school in ELA and Math to students identified as needing more support.</li> </ul>	Tutoring Data High Concern Lists	All	Certificated Staff Costs	Title 1 (Total District Cost)	\$100,000

Goal 4: Provide Opportunities for Meaningful Parent Involvement and Input						
Site Goal: Neal Dow will move from 0% to 50% of parents registered on Remind text messaging.						
CUSD Actions	Site Actions and Timeline	Metrics	Applicable Subgroups	Proposed Expenditures		
				Description	Funding Source	Amount
Provide teacher and staff training/information in:  using Parent Portal in Illuminate for 4 <sup>th</sup> -6th grade teachers  expectations for timely response (3 day maximum)	Make teachers aware of Parent Portal trainings and timely responses to	PD Sign-in Sheets Parent feedback	All	After School PD Opportunities	Title II-District	
to parent inquiries	parent inquiries through weekly bulletins and staff meetings	regarding timely responses  Spring Parent Survey Responses		Education for the Future Survey (Total District Cost)	LCFF Base	10,000

Neal Dow Elementary LCAP/SPSA Goals			Year: 20	<b>015-16</b>		
Provide TCM and/or other staff support for:     increasing parent participation     District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC)	District will provide a .5 TCM	Sign in Sheets at site ELAC meetings	All	TCM Costs Add'l TCM	See Goal 3  LCFF Supplemental Site	\$26,581
Establish baseline for parent involvement in:  • Parent Information/BTSN  • SSC	Offer a minimum of 4 family activities	Percent of parent attending BTSN, Parent-Teacher Conferences and, SSC meetings	All	No Funding Needed		

Goal 5: Improve School Climate  ■ 5.1: Increase attendance and graduation rates for all students among all subgroups, and decrease chronic absenteeism, dropout rates, suspension, and expulsion.  Site Goal: Neal Dow will reduce the number of chronically truant students by 2% annually.						
CUSD Actions	Site Actions and Timeline	Metrics	Applicable Subgroups	Proposed Expenditures		
				Description	Funding Source	Amount
Provide professional development for all staff in:  • becoming a trauma-informed district  • behavior strategies such as Positive Behavior and Intervention Supports and the Nurtured Heart Approach	<ul> <li>Make teachers aware of PD opportunities through weekly bulletins and staff meetings</li> <li>Neal Dow will participate in CUSD PBIS Grant</li> <li>Neal Dow will employ an additional School Aide for 7.5 hrs/week to support positive recess supervision.</li> <li>Purchase walkie-talkies for school wide communication</li> </ul>	Session Sign-in Sheets  Number of Office Referrals, Referrals to Opportunity Class, Reset, ISS, and OSS	All	PBIS Training School Aide School - wide communication for safety	Grant Funded  LCFF Supplemental Site Safe Schools fund	<b>\$3,684.85</b> \$2,964.00
Provide parent, education/training classes to improve student attendance.	<ul> <li>Provide a minimum of 4 family events</li> <li>Early identification of students with attendance issues</li> <li>Communicate chronically absent/tardy names to teachers</li> <li>Parent/Principal meetings to see if student absences and tardies</li> </ul>	Event Sign-in Sheets  Aeries Reports	All			Dage 14

	Ι .	I		T		
	<ul><li>improve</li><li>Use attendance rewards at school assemblies</li></ul>					
Continue support for Alternative Education Programs:  • Opportunity Programs (CAL and Chapman)	Maintain Opportunity Class	Number of Referrals to Opportunity Class	All	Opportunity Class	LCFF District Supplemental	\$160,000
<ul> <li>Out of School suspension alternatives (e.g. Reset/ISS)</li> <li>Alternative Ed. Supplemental staffing</li> </ul>	Institute the Reset Classroom as an alternative to suspensions	Number of Referrals to Reset ISS, OSS Rates		Reset	LCFF District Supplemental	\$107,000
Provide health, social-emotional counseling support services:  • EMHI/PIP  • Guidance Aides  • Nurses	<ul> <li>Employ EMHI, PIP, Guidance Aides- See Goal 3</li> <li>Employ Nurses</li> </ul>	Site Attendance Rate	All	Nurses (Total District Cost)	LCFF District Supplemental	\$107,044
Health Assistants	Employ Health Assistants			Health Assistants (Total District Cost)	LCFF District Supplemental	\$496,363
	Provide MNI Services as needed			MNI (Total District Cost)		
Medically Necessary/Off Campus Instruction.					LCFF District Supplemental	\$336,250
Increase campus supervision as per site needs.	Employ School Aides (noon supervisor, yard duty) as needed to	Number of Office Referrals	All	Campus Supervision (Total District Cost) School Aide	LCFF Supplemental District	\$616,831
	<ul> <li>Neal Dow will employ additional School Aides (noon supervisor, yard duty) as needed to reduce the number of citations.</li> </ul>				LCFF Supplemental Site	
Support student engagement in Art, Music, and PE activities at the elementary schools.	Students receive Fine Arts and PE in 1st-6th grades	Site Attendance Rate	All	Certificated teacher providing prep time release	LCFF Supplemental District	
Research availability of federal and state funds/grants for school resource officers.  Support student engagement at the high			All			
Support student engagement at the night	Not Applicable		1			

	 -		
schools by encouraging participation in			
sports teams.			

Categorical Expenditures approved by School Site Council		
Funding Source	Funding Allocation	Cost
Title I -\$88,699 Title 1 Carryover-\$22,369	.4 Title Teacher PLC Release Instructional Aide, 30 hrs/week Instructional Aide, 15 hrs/week	\$41,873 \$8,640 \$39,313 \$6,720
Title II-\$6,863 Title II Carryover-\$4,393	PD Opportunity PD Opportunity	\$6,863 \$4,393
Safe Schools- \$3,000 Safe Schools Carryover-\$848	School Aide Two Way Radios	\$3,684 \$2,964
Total= \$126,172		Total= \$114,450

LCAP Budget - Developed with School Community/SSC Input		
Funding Source	Funding Allocation	Cost
15-16 Total: \$49,144 LCAP Carryover: \$2,775	Instructional Aide-Technology PLC Release Electronic Program Instructional Aides, Extended Day K (3) Instructional Aides RTI support	\$4,080 \$2,560 \$4,320 \$11,232 \$26,581

Neal Dow Elementary LCAP/SPSA Goals	•	Year: 2015-16
Total= \$51,919		Total= \$48,773